SENATE BILL 241

By: The President (By Request – Administration) and Senators Ferguson, Forehand, Frosh, Garagiola, Gladden, Jones–Rodwell, Kelley, King, Kittleman, Madaleno, Manno, McFadden, Montgomery, Pinsky, Pugh, Ramirez, Raskin, Robey, Young, and Zirkin

Introducing and read first time: January 20, 2012
Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

A BILL ENTITLED

AN ACT concerning

Civil Marriage Protection Act

FOR the purpose of altering a provision of law establishing that only certain marriages are valid in this State; making stylistic and conforming changes in certain provisions of law prohibiting marriages within certain degrees of relationship; prohibiting certain officials from being required to solemnize or officiate a particular marriage or religious rite of a marriage in violation of a certain constitutional right; establishing that certain religious entities have exclusive control over their own theological doctrine, policy teachings, or beliefs regarding who may marry within that faith; prohibiting certain officials from being subject to any fine or penalty for failing or refusing to join individuals in marriage; prohibiting certain religious entities from being required to provide services, accommodations, advantages, facilities, goods, or privileges to an individual under certain circumstances; providing that a certain refusal by a certain religious entity or an individual employed by a certain religious entity may not create a civil claim or cause of action or result in any State action to penalize, withhold benefits from, or discriminate against such entities or individuals; prohibiting certain fraternal benefit societies from being required to admit an individual as a member or provide insurance benefits to an individual under certain circumstances; providing that a certain refusal by a certain fraternal benefit society may not create a civil claim or cause of action or constitute the basis for the withholding of governmental benefits or services from the fraternal benefit society; providing for the construction of this Act; and generally relating to valid marriages and religious freedom.

BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

   Article – Family Law

Section 2–201 and 2–202

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.
[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.
SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article – Family Law

2–201.

(A) **This section may not be construed to invalidate any other provision of this title.**

(B) Only a marriage between [a man and a woman] **TWO INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE NOT OTHERWISE PROHIBITED FROM MARRYING** is valid in this State.

2–202.

(a) Any marriage performed in this State that is prohibited by this section is void.

(b)(1) [A man] **AN INDIVIDUAL** may not marry [his] **THE INDIVIDUAL’S:**

[(i) grandmother;](#)

[(ii) mother;](#)

[(iii) daughter;](#)

[(iv) sister; or](#)

[(v) grandchild.]**

(2) A woman may not marry her:

[(i) grandfather;](#)

[(ii) father;](#)

[(iii) son;](#)

[(iv) brother; or](#)

[(v) grandson]**
(I) GRANDPARENT;

(II) PARENT;

(III) CHILD;

(IV) SIBLING; OR

(V) GRANDCHILD.

[(3)] (2) An individual who violates any provision of this subsection is guilty of a misdemeanor and on conviction is subject to a fine of $1,500.

(c) (1) [A man] AN INDIVIDUAL may not marry [his] THE INDIVIDUAL’S:

(i) grandfather’s wife;

(ii) wife’s grandmother;

(iii) father’s sister;

(iv) mother’s sister;

(v) stepmother;

(vi) wife’s mother;

(vii) wife’s daughter;

(viii) son’s wife;

(ix) grandson’s wife;

(x) wife’s granddaughter;

(xi) brother’s daughter; or

(xii) sister’s daughter.

(2) A woman may not marry her:

(i) grandmother’s husband;

(ii) husband’s grandfather;
(iii) father’s brother;
(iv) mother’s brother;
(v) stepfather;
(vi) husband’s father;
(vii) husband’s son;
(viii) daughter’s husband;
(ix) husband’s grandson;
(x) brother’s son;
(xi) sister’s son; or
(xii) granddaughter’s husband]

(I) GRANDPARENT’S SPOUSE;
(II) SPOUSE’S GRANDPARENT;
(III) PARENT’S SIBLING;
(IV) STEPPARENT;
(V) SPOUSE’S PARENT;
(VI) SPOUSE’S CHILD;
(VII) CHILD’S SPOUSE;
(VIII) GRANDCHILD’S SPOUSE;
(IX) SPOUSE’S GRANDCHILD; OR
(X) SIBLING’S CHILD.

An individual who violates any provision of this subsection is guilty of a misdemeanor and on conviction is subject to a fine of $500.

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That an official of a religious order or body authorized by the rules and customs of that order or body to perform a marriage ceremony may not be required to solemnize or officiate any particular
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marriage or religious rite of any marriage in violation of the right to free exercise of
religion guaranteed by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and by
the Maryland Constitution and Maryland Declaration of Rights. Each religious
organization, association, or society has exclusive control over its own theological
doctrine, policy teachings, and beliefs regarding who may marry within that faith. An
official of a religious order or body authorized to join individuals in marriage under §
2–406(a)(2)(i) of the Family Law Article and who fails or refuses to join individuals in
marriage is not subject to any fine or other penalty for the failure or refusal.

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a religious organization,
association, or society, or any nonprofit institution or organization operated,
supervised, or controlled by a religious organization, association, or society, may not be
required to provide services, accommodations, advantages, facilities, goods, or
privileges to an individual if the request for the services, accommodations, advantages,
facilities, goods, or privileges is related to:

1. the solemnization of a marriage or celebration of a marriage that is in
violation of the entity’s religious beliefs; or

2. the promotion of marriage through any social or religious programs or
services, in violation of the entity’s religious beliefs, unless State or federal funds are
received for that specific program or service.

(b) A refusal by an entity described in subsection (a) of this section, or of any
individual who is employed by an entity described in subsection (a) of this section, to
provide services, accommodations, advantages, facilities, goods, or privileges in
accordance with subsection (a) of this section may not create a civil claim or cause of
action or result in any State action to penalize, withhold benefits from, or discriminate
against the entity or individual.

(c) Nothing in this Act shall be deemed or construed to prohibit any religious
organization, association, or society, or any nonprofit institution or organization
operated, supervised, or controlled by a religious organization, association, or society
from limiting admission to or giving preferences to individuals of the same religion or
denomination when otherwise permitted by law.

SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That:

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a fraternal benefit society
described in § 8–402 of the Insurance Article that is operated, supervised, or controlled by
a religious organization may not be required to admit an individual as a member or to
provide insurance benefits to an individual if to do so would violate the society’s religious
beliefs.
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(b) A refusal by a fraternal benefit society described in subsection (a) of this section to admit an individual as a member or to provide insurance benefits to an individual may not create a civil claim or cause of action or constitute the basis for the withholding of governmental benefits or services from the fraternal benefit society.

SECTION 5. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect October 1, 2012.