

D05E01
Board of Public Works

Operating Budget Data

(\$ in Thousands)

	<u>FY 09</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>FY 10</u> <u>Working</u>	<u>FY 11</u> <u>Allowance</u>	<u>FY 10-11</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>% Change</u> <u>Prior Year</u>
General Fund	\$6,868	\$7,638	\$7,773	\$135	1.8%
Contingent & Back of Bill Reductions	0	0	-28	-28	
Adjusted General Fund	\$6,868	\$7,638	\$7,745	\$108	1.4%
Adjusted Grand Total	\$6,868	\$7,638	\$7,745	\$108	1.4%

Note: For purposes of illustration, the Department of Legislative Services has estimated the distribution of selected across-the-board reductions. The actual allocations are to be developed by the Administration.

- The fiscal 2011 allowance increases by \$107,720, or 1.4%, when funds are adjusted for across-the-board reductions.
- Personnel expenses increase by \$48,697, or 5.9%, mostly due the reclassification of the Procurement Advisor and General Counsel positions.

Personnel Data

	<u>FY 09</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>FY 10</u> <u>Working</u>	<u>FY 11</u> <u>Allowance</u>	<u>FY 10-11</u> <u>Change</u>
Regular Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00	0.00
Contractual FTEs	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>	<u>0.00</u>
Total Personnel	9.00	9.00	9.00	0.00

Vacancy Data: Regular Positions

Turnover and Necessary Vacancies, Excluding New Positions	0.00	0.00%
Positions and Percentage Vacant as of 12/31/09	0.00	0.00%

- No additional regular or contractual positions are included in the fiscal 2011 allowance.

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.

For further information contact: Chantelle M. Green

Phone: (410) 946-5530

Analysis in Brief

Major Trends

Minority Business Enterprise Participation in Contracts Decreases: While the number of contracts with at least 25% involvement by Minority Business Enterprise’s (MBE) has increased, there are a growing number of contracts with 0% MBE participation.

Issues

Maryland Zoo in Baltimore Financial Update: Audited financial statements and monthly attendance reports indicate that the long-term financial viability of the Maryland Zoo in Baltimore continues to remain in jeopardy. **The zoo should discuss its future direction and financial prospects in light of its recent performance and the difficult economic conditions.**

Recommended Actions

	<u>Funds</u>
1. Reduce allowance for contingent fund.	\$ 250,000
2. Reduce the operating grant allowance for the zoo.	517,218
3. Adopt narrative requesting submission of audited financial statements and attendance reports.	
Total Reductions	\$ 767,218

Updates

Use of Contingent Fund: Interagency transfers from the Contingent Fund total \$266,081 in fiscal 2009.

Council of State Governments Regional Transfer: In November 2009, the Governing Board of the Council of State Governments voted to approve the transfer of Maryland to the Eastern Region.

D05E01
Board of Public Works

Operating Budget Analysis

Program Description

The Governor, Comptroller, and Treasurer comprise the Board of Public Works (BPW). The board approves the expenditure of all sums appropriated through State loans authorized by the General Assembly and funds appropriated for capital improvements, except construction contracts for State roads, bridges, and highways. The board approves leases and contracts executed by State agencies. It adopts and promulgates rules, regulations, and procedures for the administration of the State's procurement law. The board approves certain actions of the Public School Construction Program, including the funding allocations to school boards in each county and Baltimore City. The board also approves the amount and timing of bond sales.

The board is responsible for the issuance of licenses to people seeking to dredge in or to place fill on State tidal wetlands. The Wetlands Administration unit is a division of the board that conducts public hearings, prepares written recommendations, and issues licenses after approval by the board. This program also coordinates the State's wetlands licensing program with other governmental agencies, landowners, and the general public.

The budget for BPW contains funds for the administrative staff of the board, a contingency fund to supplement general fund appropriations when necessary, grant funds for private nonprofit groups, and funds to pay settlements and judgments against the State.

Performance Analysis: Managing for Results

Although BPW participates in Managing for Results (MFR), its principal charge is overseeing the stewardship of the State's assets. Quantitatively assessing the quality of that decisionmaking is difficult. After consistent high performance in responding to public information requests in a timely and satisfactory manner, customer service measures have been dropped from its MFR reporting in favor of statistics that provide greater detail on the State's procurement actions.

Exhibit 1 lists statewide contract submissions, modifications, and approvals for fiscal 2007 to 2011. In fiscal 2009, the dollar value of contracts approved and modified by the board increased by \$5.6 billion, or 267%. According to BPW, employee health benefit contracts, which are submitted to the board every five years, comprised approximately \$5.0 billion, or 65%, of the dollar value of contracts approved by the board in fiscal 2009.

Exhibit 1
Contract Approvals/Modifications and Dollar Values
Fiscal 2007-2011

	<u>2007</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2008</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2009</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2008-2009</u> <u>% Change</u>	<u>Est.</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>Est.</u> <u>2011</u>
Contracts submitted for approval	670	646	705	9.1%	670	670
Contracts approved	637	623	680	9.1%	630	630
Total dollar value of contracts (\$ in billions)	\$2.8	\$2.1	\$7.7	266.7%	\$2.5	\$2.5
Contract modifications submitted for approval	288	274	265	-3.3%	270	270
Contract modifications approved	281	259	254	-1.9%	260	260
Total dollar value of approved modifications (\$ in billions)	\$1.7	\$0.8	\$1.1	37.5%	\$1.0	\$1.0

Source: Board of Public Works

Minority Business Enterprise Participation in Contracts Decreases

Section 14-302 of the Finance and Procurement Article sets the statewide Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) participation goal at 25% of the total dollar value of procurement contracts. BPW Advisory 2001-1 supplements the goal by suggesting means by which the State can achieve this level of participation. **Exhibit 2** details the measures of agency attainment of these goals and procedures, which were tracked by the board for the first time in fiscal 2007.

MBE figures indicate that 129 contracts, or 20.7%, of the contracts approved by the board met the State goal in fiscal 2009, up from 14.8% in fiscal 2008. Similarly, the percentage of approved contracts between 10.0 and 25.0% increased to 33.7% in fiscal 2009, up from 31.9% in fiscal 2008. Despite the success in these categories, there is a growing number of State procurements with no MBE participation. **The board should discuss the increase in the number of procurements with no MBE participation.**

Competitiveness of Contracts

The board also gauges the competitiveness of State contracts by quantifying procurement award methods. The percentage distributions by contract award type, which are listed in **Exhibit 3**, are similar to the fiscal 2008 measures. The most notable change in fiscal 2009 is an increase in the percentage of sole source procurements. BPW reports that approximately 81% of the sole source procurements approved by the board were information technology and services contracts.

Exhibit 2
MBE Participation in State Contracts
Fiscal 2007-2011

Approved Contracts with MBE Participation	2007 Actual	2008 Actual	2009 Actual	2008 % of Total	2009 % of Total	2010 Est.	2011 Est.
Participation 0%	251	216	229	34.7%	36.8%	275	275
Between 1 and 10%	72	116	55	18.6%	8.8%	60	50
Between 10% and 25%	198	199	210	31.9%	33.7%	200	200
Greater than 25%	67	92	129	14.8%	20.7%	100	100
	588	623	623	n/a	n/a	635	625

MBE: Minority Business Enterprise

Source: Board of Public Works

Exhibit 3
BPW Contracts Approved by Procurement Method
Fiscal 2008-2011

	2008 Actual	% of Total	2009 Actual	% of Total	2010 Est.	2011 Est.
Competitive Sealed-bid	230	32.9%	251	34.6%	260	260
Competitive Sealed-proposals	98	14.0%	93	12.8%	95	95
Single Bid/Proposal Received	76	10.9%	55	7.6%	75	75
Sole Source	51	7.3%	76	10.5%	50	50
Emergency or Expedited	62	8.9%	68	9.4%	60	60
Other ⁽¹⁾	182	26.0%	182	25.1%	120	120
Total	699	n/a	725	n/a	660	660

BPW: Board of Public Works

⁽¹⁾ “Other” award types include intergovernmental cooperative contracts, negotiated awards after unsuccessful bids, preference purchases, and Maryland architect and engineer services awards.

Source: Board of Public Works

Fiscal 2010 Actions

Impact of Cost Containment

Fiscal 2010 cost containment efforts resulted in general fund savings of approximately \$103,000. Cost savings were primarily achieved by reducing grant funds to the Maryland Zoo in Baltimore (\$50,000) and the Historic Annapolis Foundation (\$25,000). Additional cost savings were achieved as the result of employee furloughs (\$25,000) and a reduction in travel expenses (\$3,000).

Proposed Budget

As shown in **Exhibit 4**, the fiscal 2011 allowance increases by \$107,720, or 1.4%, when funds are adjusted for across-the-board reductions. The increase is primarily driven by a \$48,697 increase in personnel expenditures, mostly due the reclassification of the Procurement Advisor and General Counsel positions.

Grants to Private Nonprofits

The fiscal 2011 allowance for grants to private nonprofits totals \$5,802,650 in general funds, which represents an increase of \$5,593 over the fiscal 2010 working appropriation.

- **Maryland Zoo in Baltimore:** The State has provided the Maryland Zoo in Baltimore with a variety of grants to support its general operations over the past 18 years. These funds have resided in the BPW budget since 2004. The board's fiscal 2011 allowance includes a \$5,175,218 general fund operating grant to the zoo. It should also be noted that State funding for educational organizations includes an additional \$547,251 for zoo operations, resulting in total State funding of \$5,722,469.
- **Historic Annapolis Foundation:** The fiscal 2011 allowance provides a \$482,000 general fund grant to the Historic Annapolis Foundation (HAF). HAF leases 11 State-owned historic buildings in Annapolis and is contractually obligated to operate and maintain them. Unlike prior years when a portion of the grant has been used to support capital projects, HAF reports that the entire fiscal 2011 allowance will be used to support general operating expenditures.
- **Council of State Governments:** A \$145,432 general fund grant to the Council of State Governments (CSG) provides the organization with an operating budget subsidy. CSG uses these funds to provide support services for priorities established by legislative leaders and executives through the Eastern Office of the Council of State Governments, the Southern Legislative Conference, and the Southern Governors' Association. The amount budgeted represents a \$5,593 increase over fiscal 2010 and reflects an adjustment of CSG's membership fees, as approved by voting representatives from all 50 states.

Exhibit 4
Proposed Budget
Board of Public Works
(\$ in Thousands)

How Much It Grows:	General Fund	Total
2010 Working Appropriation	\$7,638	\$7,638
2011 Allowance	<u>7,773</u>	<u>7,773</u>
Amount Change	\$135	\$135
Percent Change	1.8%	1.8%
Contingent Reductions	-\$28	-\$28
Adjusted Change	\$108	\$108
Adjusted Percent Change	1.4%	1.4%

Where It Goes:

Personnel Expenses

Reclassification of Procurement Advisor and General Counsel Position	\$35
Employees' retirement	14
Across-the-board reduction in employee health insurance	-3
Employee health insurance.....	-1
Other adjustments.....	4

Other Changes

Increase due to fiscal 2010 draw down on contingent fund	55
Dues increase for Council of State Government membership	6
Increased cell phone expenses.....	2
Other.....	-4

Total **\$108**

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.

Judgments Against the State

Section 10-501 of the State Finance and Procurement Article provides that BPW may grant compensation to an individual erroneously convicted, sentenced, and confined under State law for a crime the individual did not commit. The fiscal 2011 allowance includes \$213,125 in general funds for payments of civil judgments against the State. This is the same figure programmed for the fiscal 2010 budget and represents amounts owed under settlements in force through fiscal 2013.

Impact of Cost Containment

The fiscal 2011 budget reflects several across-the-board actions to be allocated by the Administration. This includes a combination of employee furloughs and government shut-down days similar to the plan adopted in fiscal 2010; a reduction in overtime based on accident leave management; streamlining of State operations; hiring freeze and attrition savings; a change in the injured workers' settlement policy and administrative costs; and a savings in health insurance to reflect a balance in that account. For purposes of illustration, the Department of Legislative Services has estimated the distribution of selected actions relating to employee furloughs and health insurance.

Issues

1. Maryland Zoo in Baltimore Financial Update

Prompted by the need for \$5.61 million of emergency State funding in fiscal 2007 to prevent the Maryland Zoo in Baltimore from closing, the budget committees began examining the financial health of the zoo during the 2008 session. The chief causes for concern were:

- low attendance;
- poor earned income from dwindling gate receipts, falling private donations, and disadvantageous contracts with in-park vendors;
- the subsequent increased need for public funds to replace dollars not generated through operations; and
- rising expenditures in the face of falling revenues.

In response to these concerns, the 2009 *Joint Chairmen's Report* requested the submittal of audited financial statements and monthly attendance reports.

Zoo Attendance Continues to Decline

Exhibit 5 shows zoo attendance for fiscal 2007 through 2010 by visitor group type. Zoo attendance declined by 18,288, or 5.2%, in fiscal 2009 as compared to fiscal 2008. According to the zoo, the across-the-board reduction in attendees was due to a combination of factors, including inclement weather and a reduction in State funding, which prompted the closure of the zoo for an extra month during fiscal 2009. Based on year-to-date figures, more than 170,000 patrons will have to visit the zoo between March and June of 2010, in order to match fiscal 2009 attendance levels. Nearly 180,000 patrons visited the zoo during the same timeframe in 2009. **The zoo should comment on its projections for fiscal 2010 and 2011 attendance totals.**

Earned Income and Expenses

Exhibit 6 demonstrates the changes to revenues and expenses at the zoo from fiscal 2008 to 2009, as detailed in the audited financial statements.

Exhibit 5
Maryland Zoo in Baltimore Attendance by Groups
Fiscal 2007-2010

	<u>2007</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2008</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2009</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2008-2009</u> <u># Change</u>	<u>2008-2009%</u> <u>Change</u>	<u>2010</u> <u>YTD*</u>
General	154,704	158,600	153,800	-4,800	-3.0%	80,842
Member	114,537	107,358	99,877	-7,481	-7.0%	59,960
School	75,788	79,888	74,005	-5,883	-7.4%	15,698
Passes	4,122	3,123	2,999	-124	-4.0%	2,457
Total	349,151	348,969	330,681	-18,288	-5.2%	158,957

YTD: year-to-date

* Through November 2009

Source: Maryland Zoo in Baltimore

Exhibit 6
Maryland Zoo in Baltimore – Audited Financial Statements
Fiscal 2008-2009

	<u>2008 Actual</u>	<u>2009 Actual</u>	<u>\$ Change</u>	<u>% Change</u>
Revenue, Gains, and Other Support				
Grants and Awards	\$9,816,519	\$11,811,503	\$1,994,984	20%
Contributions	454,470	731,588	277,118	61%
Donated Facilities and Utilities	1,185,616	572,584	-613,032	-52%
Donated Services and Materials	726,960	141,026	-585,934	-81%
Visitor Revenue	2,189,407	1,913,048	-276,359	-13%
Investment Income	63,065	-29,434	-92,499	-147%
Membership Dues	1,045,382	1,083,237	37,855	4%
Other Revenue	634,796	426,183	-208,613	-33%
Total	16,116,215	16,649,735	533,520	3%
Expenses				
Program Services	11,735,539	10,414,738	-1,320,801	-11%
Supporting Services	3,310,677	2,875,025	-435,652	-13%
Fundraising	782,374	771,746	-10,628	-1%
Total	15,828,590	14,061,509	-1,767,081	-11%
Change in Income	\$287,625	\$2,588,226	\$2,300,601	800%

Source: Maryland Zoo in Baltimore; Clifton Gunderson, LLP

D05E01 – Board of Public Works

Notable areas of revenue change include the following:

- ***Grants and Awards:*** Grants and awards increased by \$1,994,984, or 20%, in fiscal 2009. Grants and awards generally consist of public funding from State and local jurisdictions. The increase in grant funds was primarily driven by the receipt of approximately \$3 million in capital grant funds from the State for infrastructure improvements at the zoo.
- ***Contributions:*** Contributions increased by approximately \$277,118, or 61%, in fiscal 2009. Contributions are comprised of annual fund donations from individuals and corporations, excluding memberships. According to the zoo, the majority of the contributions received in fiscal 2009 comprised monetary donations.
- ***Visitor Revenue:*** Visitor revenue decreased by \$276,359, or 13%, in fiscal 2009. Visitor revenue comprises revenue obtained from admission ticket sales, concession commissions, enjoyment rides (net of revenue sharing paid to vendors), and facility rentals. According to the zoo, the decline in visitor revenue was due to a reduction in visitor attendance and admission pricing changes. In an effort to encourage online ticket sales, the zoo began discounting the price of online ticket purchases in March 2008.
- ***Membership Dues:*** Membership dues increased by \$37,855, or 4%, in fiscal 2009. Membership dues include revenue from individual memberships and corporate memberships. Individual membership dues, which range in price from \$55 (one adult) to \$99 (family membership), comprised 72% of the zoo's fiscal 2009 membership revenue.

Outlook for the Future

As the consolidated financial statements produced by Clifton Gunderson, LLP for the zoo state, “The Organization’s operating costs have significantly exceeded revenues that can be generated from zoo patrons over the past few years and the Organization has limited assets which can be converted into cash. This results in a lack of liquidity and concern about the ability to meet current obligations. As a result, significant public funding, primarily from the State of Maryland and the City of Baltimore, have been required to continue the organization’s mission.” As the State is faced with its own substantial fiscal constraints, its ability to further supplement the zoo is uncertain at best, as recent cost containment reductions indicate. **The zoo should discuss its future direction and financial prospects in light of its recent performance and the difficult economic conditions.**

Recommended Actions

	<u>Amount Reduction</u>	
1. Reduce allowance for contingent fund. This recommendation is based on the following considerations: (1) the appropriation has only been fully exhausted once since fiscal 2001; (2) a review of prior usages indicates that many of fund's previous expenditures were non-emergency in nature; and (3) a heightened review of contingent fund expenditures by the budget committees is appropriate given the current fiscal climate. If approved, this action will appropriate \$500,000 in fiscal 2011.	\$ 250,000	GF
2. Reduce the operating grant allowance for the zoo by \$517,218. Given the current fiscal environment, a reduction in this non-core government service is warranted. If adopted, this action will appropriate \$4,658,000 for zoo operations in fiscal 2011. It should be noted that State funding for educational organizations includes additional funding for zoo operations.	517,218	GF
3. Adopt the following narrative:		
<p>Improving Operational Reporting: Given the zoo's continued financial difficulties, the committees request that the Maryland Zoological Society submit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • audited financial statements for fiscal 2010; and • year-to-date monthly attendance figures for the zoo for fiscal 2011, in continuance of the practice begun in July 2008. 		
Information Request	Author	Due Date
Audited financials	Maryland Zoological Society	November 1, 2010
Attendance report	Maryland Zoological Society	Monthly
Total General Fund Reductions	\$ 767,218	

Updates

1. Use of Contingent Fund

Article III, Section 32 of the State Constitution, provides for a State contingent fund that BPW may allocate to supplement agency appropriations when these prove insufficient to meet salaries and operating expenses during the fiscal year. **Exhibit 7** shows the legislative appropriations and total expenditures from the fund from fiscal 2004 through 2009.

Exhibit 7
Board of Public Works Contingent Fund Usage
Fiscal 2004-2009

	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>
Appropriation	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$500,000	\$750,000	\$750,000
Total Uses	<u>86,175</u>	<u>549,200</u>	<u>636,716</u>	<u>354,461</u>	<u>750,000</u>	<u>266,081</u>
Unspent Funds	\$663,825	\$200,800	\$113,284	\$145,539	\$0	\$483,919

Source: Board of Public Works

In fiscal 2009, \$266,081 was expended from the Contingent Fund. The amounts, recipients, and justifications for the fiscal 2009 fund transfers are listed below:

- \$175,000 to the Office of the Attorney General to obtain counsel to represent the State in bankruptcy proceedings regarding the Preakness Stakes;
- \$70,000 to the State Board of Elections to replace an air conditioning unit and implement a statewide inventory system; and
- \$21,081 to the Interagency Committee on Public School Construction to cover prior year shortfalls in contractual services and replacement equipment funding.

Appendix 2 provides detail on the recipients of transfers from the Contingent Fund during the three prior fiscal years. The fiscal 2010 working appropriation reflects a \$55,000 transfer from the Contingent Fund to the State Board of Elections for litigation expenses related to the existing voting system. The fiscal 2011 allowance for the Contingent Fund is level funded at \$750,000 in general funds.

2. Council of State Governments Regional Transfer

The fiscal 2010 budget bill restricts \$139,839 in general funds until the Council of State Governments certifies that the State of Maryland has been transferred from the Southern Region to the Eastern Region. BPW reports that in November 2009, the Governing Board of the Council of State Governments voted to approve the transfer of Maryland to the Eastern Region.

Current and Prior Year Budgets

Current and Prior Year Budgets					
Board of Public Works					
(\$ in Thousands)					
	<u>General</u>	<u>Special</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<u>Reimb.</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fiscal 2009	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund
Legislative					
Appropriation	\$7,869	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,869
Deficiency					
Appropriation	0	0	0	0	0
Budget					
Amendments	-252	0	0	0	-252
Cost					
Containment	-195	0	0	0	-195
Reversions and					
Cancellations	-554	0	0	0	-554
Actual					
Expenditures	\$6,868	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,868
Fiscal 2010					
Legislative					
Appropriation	\$7,796	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,796
Cost					
Containment	-103	0	0	0	-103
Budget					
Amendments	-55	0	0	0	-55
Working					
Appropriation	\$7,638	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,638

Note: Numbers may not sum to total due to rounding.

Fiscal 2009

In fiscal 2009, the total budget for BPW decreased by a net of approximately \$1 million due to the following: (1) an increase for a cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) that was centrally budgeted in the Department of Budget and Management (\$14,211); (2) a reduction in grant funds to the zoo and the Historic Annapolis Foundation (\$123,758); (3) a reduction in personnel expenses due to employee furloughs and a long-term vacancy in the board's Procurement Advisor position (\$48,905); (4) across-the-board reductions in employee and retiree fringe benefit appropriations for health insurance and Other Post Employment Benefits (\$18,144); (5) a reduction in printing costs for board transcripts (\$4,479); and (6) transfers out of the Contingent Fund totaling \$266,081 to the Office of the Attorney General (\$175,000), the State Board of Elections (\$70,000), and the Interagency Committee on Public School Construction (\$21,081). These funds were used to obtain counsel to represent the State in bankruptcy proceedings regarding the Preakness Stakes, implement a statewide inventory system, replace an air conditioning unit, and to cover prior year shortfalls in Interagency Committee on Public School Construction contractual services and equipment replacement funding.

Additionally, there was a general fund reversion of \$554,479. The reversion was primarily due to unspent appropriations in the Contingent Fund.

Fiscal 2010

The fiscal 2010 cost containment effort resulted in savings of approximately \$103,000 in general funds primarily due to a reduction in grant funds for the zoo and HAF. A transfer from the Contingent Fund to the State Board of Elections further reduced the general fund appropriation by \$55,000.

D05E01 – Board of Public Works

**Board of Public Works Contingent Fund Usage Detail
Fiscal 2006-2008**

DETAIL

	<u>Value</u>	<u>Recipient of Transfer</u>	<u>Reason for Transfer</u>
Fiscal 2008	\$1,094	District Court of Maryland	Payment of attorney’s fees incurred during a State Prosecutor’s Office investigation
	344,098	State Board of Elections	Payment of attorney’s fees related to a judgment against the State
	69,725	State Board of Elections	Payment of attorney’s fees related to a judgment against the State
	100,000	University System of Maryland	Pay for a survey of teaching conditions
	<u>235,083</u>	Office of the Public Defender	Pay for panel attorneys, transcripts, and other operating expenses not funded in the budget appropriation
	\$750,000		
Fiscal 2007	\$56,000	Commission on Human Relations	Create State’s Attorney position to implement procurement-related Commercial Nondiscrimination Policy
	8,489	T. Eloise Foster	Reimburse attorney’s fees in connection with the prosecution of Nate Chapman
	189,972	Stephen P. Amos	Payment of attorney’s fees related to defense of criminal indictment ultimately dismissed
	70,000	Morgan State University	Defray travel costs for Morgan State choir to perform in Ghana
	<u>30,000</u>	Canal Place	Pay for annual leave payout and electricity costs not funded in budget
	\$354,461		
Fiscal 2006	\$100,000	Department of General Services	Outsourcing design review services for public school construction
	225,000	Department of Aging	Assist local agencies enroll Medicare beneficiaries in Part D program before penalty deadline
	6,716	Property Tax Appeals Board	Pay for annual leave payout not funded in budget due to unexpected retirement
	25,000	MD State Board of Contract Appeals	Pay for annual leave payout not funded in budget due to unexpected retirement
	220,000	Maryland State Police	Pay unanticipated Medevac helicopter maintenance costs and pilot overtime
	<u>60,000</u>	Morgan State University	Defray travel costs for Morgan State choir to perform in Prague
\$636,716			

Source: Board of Public Works

**Object/Fund Difference Report
Board of Public Works**

<u>Object/Fund</u>	<u>FY09 Actual</u>	<u>FY10 Working Appropriation</u>	<u>FY11 Allowance</u>	<u>FY10 - FY11 Amount Change</u>	<u>Percent Change</u>
Positions					
01 Regular	9.00	9.00	9.00	0	0%
Total Positions	9.00	9.00	9.00	0	0%
Objects					
01 Salaries and Wages	\$ 740,203	\$ 745,355	\$ 899,710	\$ 154,355	20.7%
02 Technical and Spec. Fees	20,528	24,000	24,000	0	0%
03 Communication	11,180	11,555	12,223	668	5.8%
04 Travel	4,315	5,400	1,200	-4,200	-77.8%
08 Contractual Services	38,183	49,953	48,780	-1,173	-2.3%
09 Supplies and Materials	12,758	12,200	12,200	0	0%
10 Equipment – Replacement	3,423	4,700	4,700	0	0%
12 Grants, Subsidies, and Contributions	6,032,827	6,780,182	6,765,775	-14,407	-0.2%
13 Fixed Charges	4,529	3,847	3,982	135	3.5%
14 Land and Structures	0	500	500	0	0%
Total Objects	\$ 6,867,946	\$ 7,637,692	\$ 7,773,070	\$ 135,378	1.8%
Funds					
01 General Fund	\$ 6,867,946	\$ 7,637,692	\$ 7,773,070	\$ 135,378	1.8%
Total Funds	\$ 6,867,946	\$ 7,637,692	\$ 7,773,070	\$ 135,378	1.8%

Note: The fiscal 2010 appropriation does not include deficiencies.

<u>Program/Unit</u>	Fiscal Summary				
	FY09	FY10	FY11	Change	FY10 - FY11
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Wrk Approp</u>	<u>Allowance</u>		<u>% Change</u>
01 Administration Office	\$ 662,185	\$ 741,739	\$ 815,539	\$ 73,800	9.9%
02 Contingent Fund	0	695,000	750,000	55,000	7.9%
05 Wetlands Administration	172,934	190,771	191,756	985	0.5%
10 Miscellaneous Grants to Private Nonprofit Groups	5,819,702	5,797,057	5,802,650	5,593	0.1%
15 Payments of Judgments Against the State	213,125	213,125	213,125	0	0%
Total Expenditures	\$ 6,867,946	\$ 7,637,692	\$ 7,773,070	\$ 135,378	1.8%
General Fund	\$ 6,867,946	\$ 7,637,692	\$ 7,773,070	\$ 135,378	1.8%
Total Appropriations	\$ 6,867,946	\$ 7,637,692	\$ 7,773,070	\$ 135,378	1.8%

Note: The fiscal 2010 appropriation does not include deficiencies.